Operational Equilibrium and Liquidity Logic: A Strategic Evaluation of Systemic Innovation within Inspire Brands and the Buffalo Wild Wings Ecosystem

The contemporary landscape of the North American restaurant industry is currently defined by an aggressive and nearly absolute shift toward technological consolidation and the centralization of operational intelligence. At the vanguard of this movement stands Inspire Brands, a multi-brand entity that has redefined the traditional franchise model through a proprietary shared-services framework and an uncompromising commitment to digital transformation.[1] Founded in 2018, Inspire Brands has expanded its footprint to include over 33,000 locations globally, encompassing iconic names such as Arby's, Buffalo Wild Wings, Baskin-Robbins, Dunkin', Jimmy John's, and SONIC.[1] This rapid expansion is underpinned by a vision to invigorate great brands by leveraging an enterprise-scale platform that facilitates technological extensibility and operational synergy.[1] The organization's success in achieving over $32.6 billion in global system sales in 2024 is largely attributed to its ability to synchronize disparate operational functions into a cohesive, data-driven ecosystem.[3]

However, as the organization moves beyond the initial phase of brand acquisition and into a phase of deep operational optimization, a critical strategic tension has emerged. While current internal projects focus on high-level point solutions—such as robotic fryers, automated scheduling, and AI-driven order throttling—there remains a strategic opening for a holistic management philosophy that can synthesize these tools into a unified human-centric flow.[1] This is the juncture where the concept of Orchestrating Equilibrium, proposed through the Liquidity Logic framework, gains its highest relevance.[5] This framework, developed by Jacob Zwack, suggests a systemic approach to harmonizing the multifaceted elements of hospitality management by treating the flow of business data and physical orders with the same physics applied to fluid dynamics and geographical engineering.[5]

The central inquiry of this analysis is whether the frameworks proposed by Jacob Zwack offer a novel and legitimate contribution to the operational excellence of Buffalo Wild Wings and Inspire Brands, or if these organizations are already implementing such models internally. Evidence from internal leadership structures suggests that while the vocabulary of "equilibrium" is not currently standard, the objectives are a primary focus of the Operations Innovation team led by Vans Nelson and the technology division under CTO Yasir Anwar.[1] To understand the potential integration of this work, one must conduct an exhaustive evaluation of the existing Inspire Brands infrastructure against the specific metaphorical and technical

pillars of the Liquidity Logic framework.[5]

The Structural Architecture of Inspire Brands: Shared Services and Centers of Excellence

Inspire Brands operates through a Center of Excellence (CoE) model, which serves as a centralized engine for innovation and support across its diverse portfolio.[1] This model is designed to provide brands with industry-leading capabilities in demand generation, supply chain management, and restaurant technology that would be difficult to sustain as independent entities.[1] The strategic rationale for this structure is the belief that a unified technology-enabled platform drives enhanced value for franchisees and stakeholders by capturing the collective scale of the entire enterprise.[1]

Digital Transformation and The Vault

The digital transformation strategy at Inspire Brands is predicated on the integration of a best-of-breed martech stack that streamlines content and customer relationship management.[1] Central to this effort is "The Vault," a Digital Asset Management (DAM) system powered by Bynder, which acts as the system of record for assets across all sub-brands.[1] This centralized repository allows the organization to localize content for nearly 60 global markets without the excessive costs associated with redundant production.[1] The Vault serves as a game-changer for marketing efficiency, allowing internal teams, external agencies, and production partners to collaborate within a single system featuring version control, rights management, and usage tracking.[8]

System Type	Technology Partner	Operational Impact
Digital Asset Management (DAM)	Bynder	Centralized asset "Vault" for multi-brand consistency and global localization. [1]
Customer Relationship Management (CRM)	Salesforce	Integrated guest data for personalized demand generation and loyalty. [1]
Content Management System (CMS)	Contentful	Streamlined syndication of brand-specific content across digital platforms. [1]
Identity and Access	Okta	Secure application

Management		integration using SAML 2.0 and OAuth 2.0 protocols. [1]
Order & Capacity Management	Olo	Automated throttling and ML-based quote times via OrderReady AI. [5]

This technological cohesion is vital for operational stability, particularly during high-traffic periods such as the lunch rush. The leadership at Maverick Studios, Inspire's in-house creative agency, emphasizes that errors in the digital product team can lead to immediate and substantial revenue loss if not managed through integrated, resilient systems.[1] By uniting these iconic sub-brands under a single technological roof, Inspire Brands has broken down traditional silos, empowering teams to accelerate time-to-market and maximize return on investment (ROI).[1]

The Hyderabad Global Support Center: An Engine for Innovation

To sustain this high level of technological sophistication, Inspire Brands has established a Global Support Center in Hyderabad, India.[1] This center is dedicated to developing new capabilities in data science, automation, cloud computing, and eCommerce.[1] It also hosts an innovation lab designed to collaborate with startups on solutions for workforce management, loyalty systems, and productivity optimization.[1] The existence of this lab indicates a structural appetite for external ideas that can be scaled across the portfolio, directly supporting the relevance of a systemic proposal like Orchestrating Equilibrium.[1]

The Liquidity Logic Framework: Deconstructing the Breakthrough

Jacob Zwack's Liquidity Logic is a comprehensive workflow framework that uses the geography of the Mississippi River and the mechanics of bartending to explain business efficiency.[5] The framework focuses on transforming unmanaged "floods" of data and orders into a controlled, hydroelectric power source for a company.[5] Zwack posits that corporate flow is often "on the rocks" because leadership views bottlenecks as people problems rather than structural riverbed issues.[6]

The 14-Dam Gauntlet: The Internal Operations Layer

The framework compares the stretch of the Mississippi River between Lake Itasca and the Twin Cities—which contains 14 structural dams—to a company's internal operations layer or "Middle Management Gauntlet".[5] In this logic, a dam is not intended to stop the flow, which creates a stagnant pond and "dead fish" (lost revenue), but to regulate it.[5] These 14 checkpoints ensure the "water level" (operational capacity) remains high enough for "big

barges" (high-ticket clients) to navigate the system successfully.[5]

Mississippi Dam Metaphor	Corporate Workflow Dam	Operational Function and Failure Risk
Headwaters (Clear)	Sales Intake	The initial "pour." Failure leads to incorrect guest expectations. [5]
Dam #2	Lead Qualification	Straining out the "pulp." Failure causes resource waste on low-ROI tasks. [5]
Dam #3	Data Verification	Ensuring the "recipe" (order details) is accurate and executable. [5]
Dam #4	Inventory Management	Checking back-bar stock. Failure leads to outages during peak events. [5]
Dam #5	Credit Approval	The business "ID Check." Prevents bad debt and financial drag. [5]
Dam #6	Order Processing	"Shaking the cocktail." The core labor-to-product transformation. [5]
Dam #7	Quality Control	The "straw test." Ensures product meets brand standards. [5]
Dam #8	Packaging	Adding the "garnish." Critical for the takeout experience. [5]
Dam #9	Logistics Routing	Choosing the right "glassware" (delivery partner or staff). [5]

Dam #10	Shipping	Placing the drink on the coaster. The final hand-off to the guest. [5]
Dam #11	Tracking/Reporting	Monitoring the "sip." Real-time visibility into the guest journey. [5]
Dam #12	Billing	Closing the tab. Measuring cash-flow velocity and payment success. [5]
Dam #13	Customer Feedback	Checking the "taste." Capturing sentiment to inform future flow. [5]
Dam #14	Retention	Inviting another round. Driving long-term guest lifetime value. [5]

The critical insight offered by the 14-dam structure is the "Backwater Effect".[6] If Dam #4 (Inventory) is wide open but Dam #5 (Credit Approval) is shut tight, the system overflows, grounding the sales team in "mud".[6] In a restaurant context, if the kitchen is firing orders at maximum speed but the expo station or delivery drivers are unavailable, the "water" rises, food quality drops, and the ROI is washed away.[5] This metaphor highlights that a bottleneck is not merely a local delay but a systemic pressure point that can destabilize the entire "riverbed" of operations.[6]

The Speed Pourer Strategy: Automation and Bottleneck Resolution

The Speed Pourer Strategy addresses the physical and digital bottleneck, defined as the narrowest part of a transition where liquid (or data) moves from the reservoir to the deliverable.[6] In a manual bar system, tilting a bottle too fast without a regulator causes a "glug-glug" effect—an uneven, splashing flow that wastes product.[6] In corporate terms, this is a manual entry system where employees "splash data all over the counter," leading to waste, inconsistency, and a "Snowball Effect".[6]

Zwack's resolution is the implementation of digital "speed pourers".[6] Through automation, the air-to-liquid ratio of company data is regulated so that the flow is metered and measured, regardless of the "tilt" or volume of demand.[5] This prevents the "Spring Rise" of backlogs from becoming an unmanageable avalanche of customer service inquiries.[6] By automating these 14 dams, the framework aims to transform the business into a "hydroelectric power source,"

where the friction of the process generates analytics rather than heat.[6]

Operational Challenges and the Labor Landscape at Buffalo Wild Wings

Buffalo Wild Wings (BWW), the second-largest casual-dining chain by unit count, represents a unique set of operational challenges within the Inspire portfolio.[1] As a high-volume sports bar concept, BWW is heavily dependent on the sporting calendar to drive sales.[1] This dependency creates significant volatility in demand, making labor management a critical and often difficult task.[1] For instance, a lack of professional or college football games in a given month can lead to a marked slowdown in same-store sales, which in turn necessitates a highly flexible labor model to preserve margins.[1]

The Transition from Manual to Automated Scheduling

Historically, labor management at Buffalo Wild Wings was plagued by manual inefficiencies.[1] Managers often relied on spreadsheets, post-it notes, and physical books to track employee availability and time-off requests—a process complicated by the "ever-changing student schedules" common in the hospitality workforce.[1] The implementation of the HotSchedules platform from Fourth has fundamentally altered this landscape by providing a web-based tool for automated scheduling and communication.[1]

Operational Metric	**Manual Process (Pre-Fourth)**	**Automated Process (HotSchedules)**	**Performance Gain**
Weekly Scheduling Time	Hours spent on spreadsheets and post-its.	Automated templates based on built-in availability.	75% Reduction. [1]
Overtime Management	Manual tracking, often identified post-shift.	Real-time alerts during shift trades or approvals.	Significant Cost Benefit. [1]
Roster Generation	60+ minutes daily typing "starting line-ups".	One-click automated "Daily Roster Report".	100% Automation. [1]
Staff	Multiple phone	Broadcast	Enhanced

Communication	calls and verbal updates.	Messaging and mobile shift swaps.	Engagement. [1]

The ability of HotSchedules to automatically check for potential overtime and ensure balanced skill levels across shifts has provided a substantial "real cost benefit" to General Managers.[1] However, while this tool addresses the logistical "how" of scheduling, it does not necessarily address the philosophical "why" of operational equilibrium—the deeper strategic alignment of human energy, guest demand, and brand standards that the Liquidity Logic framework purports to solve.[1]

Economic Pressures and the Search for Profitability

Buffalo Wild Wings faces ongoing pressure from wing cost volatility and competition from fast-casual disruptors like Wingstop.[1] In 2024, BWW achieved respectable sales growth of 2.4%, outperforming the casual-dining segment average.[1] Despite this, the chain is continually seeking ways to improve store-level profit margins, which have suffered from fluctuating commodity costs.[1] This economic environment increases the demand for "intelligent automation" that goes beyond simple task replacement and enters the realm of systemic optimization.[1]

The Mathematical Reality of Production Constraints at the Fry Station

To identify a "cure" for the takeout overwhelming the store, one must first conduct a rigorous quantitative audit of the station that serves as the primary production bottleneck: the fry station.[9] Buffalo Wild Wings' operations are anchored by the fry station, which must accommodate the bulk of the brand's core menu items, including boneless wings, traditional wings, and an extensive array of appetizers and sides.[9] The physical limitations of these assets are fixed by physics and corporate safety protocols, creating a hard ceiling on throughput that digital algorithms often ignore.[9]

Quantitative Modeling of Wing Production Throughput

The production capacity of a standard Buffalo Wild Wings kitchen can be modeled by analyzing the cycle times and unit capacities of the fryer vats.[9] Per operational parameters, a single fryer vat can accommodate up to 60 wings.[9] However, the temporal requirements differ significantly between product lines. Boneless wings require approximately 6.5 minutes of cook time, while traditional wings require a minimum of 12 minutes.[9] Furthermore, corporate policy necessitates a "two-person protocol" for traditional wing "drops" or "drips," introducing a labor-dependent latency that restricts the frequency of production cycles regardless of vat availability.[9]

The throughput rate (T) for any given item can be expressed as:

$$T = \frac{N \times V}{C + L}$$
Where:

- N is the number of units per vat (60).
- V is the number of vats allocated.
- C is the cook time in minutes.
- L is the labor-induced latency (setup and drop time). [9]

Item Type	Units Per Vat (N)	Cook Time (C)	Vats Allocated (V)	Hourly Throughput (Theoretical Max)
Boneless Wings	60	6.5 min	2	1,107 Units/Hr [9]
Traditional Wings	60	12.0 min	1	300 Units/Hr [9]
Sides/Appetizers	Varies	4.0 min (avg)	1	15 Drops/Hr [9]

As illustrated, dedicating two fryers to boneless wings provides a significant throughput.[9] However, this allocation leaves only two fryers to handle the entire remainder of the menu.[9] When one of those remaining fryers is occupied by a 12-minute traditional wing cycle, the entire output for appetizers and sides is funneled through a single remaining vat.[9] The critical failure in current Point of Sale (POS) configurations is the "Everything Else" problem.[9] While the system may perceive the kitchen as having "four fryers," the operational reality is that the fourth fryer is the sole source for a massive variety of menu items.[9]

The Shared Asset Conflict and Sequential Bottlenecks

If a guest orders 60 boneless wings and a large order of potato wedges, the wings may be ready in 6.5 minutes, but if the fourth vat is occupied with a previous order of onion rings, the wedges cannot begin their cycle.[9] This creates a sequential bottleneck where the slowest component of the order dictates the total ticket time.[9] When the digital system promises a 15-minute ready time, it assumes parallel processing capability that the physical kitchen layout cannot sustain during peak volume.[9] As orders accumulate, the "wait time" for a free

vat grows exponentially, potentially leading to 160-minute queues for the side station alone.[9]

Investigating Internal Equivalents: Vans Nelson and the Operations Innovation Team

To answer whether Buffalo Wild Wings is already working on these concepts, one must look to the Senior Leadership Team, specifically Vans Nelson, the Senior Vice President of Operations Innovation.[5] Nelson has been instrumental in developing the "Operations Complexity Model" and "Brand Labor Models".[1] These models are the closest internal equivalent to the Orchestrating Equilibrium concept.[5]

The Operations Complexity Model

The Operations Complexity Model is a data-driven tool designed to evaluate the limits of restaurant capacity.[1] It seeks to quantify how many menu items can be added before kitchen throughput degrades and to find the optimal ratio of service staff to guest volume that maintains "high guest satisfaction scores" while minimizing labor waste.[1] This model functions as the mathematical "hardware" of equilibrium, answering the quantitative "how many" of operations.[5]

However, internal projects often focus on high-level "point solutions," such as robotic fryers and automated scheduling.[1] While Nelson's team builds the models, the implementation often focuses on mechanical or digital tools rather than the human-centric "operating system" that Zwack's proposal provides—an overarching management philosophy to harmonize these tools for the 650,000 team members in the field.[1]

Internal Project	Purpose	Alignment with Zwack's Model
Operations Complexity Model	Quantifying throughput limits.	Mathematically identifies the "height" of the dams. [1]
Brand Labor Models	Optimizing staff levels per guest.	Addresses the synchronization of labor and demand. [1]
Alliance Kitchen	Multi-brand ghost kitchen.	Demonstrates "Shared Service" dam regulation. [1]

Flippy Wings ("Wingy")	AI frying robotics.	Serves as a mechanical "Speed Pourer" for volume. [1]

Automation as a Component of Equilibrium

Inspire Brands' approach to automation, particularly the testing of Flippy Wings, is framed not as a replacement for human workers but as a means of "increasing capacity" and "improving safety".[1] By automating the frying process, the organization aims to redeploy team members to guest-facing functions, thereby "elevating the experience for both guests and team members".[1] These projects represent the technical components of a balanced system, but they are often siloed from the "bartender's logic" that considers the synchronous delivery of food and drink in a stadium atmosphere like BWW.[5]

The Algorithmic Failure: Olo and the Static Quote Time

Buffalo Wild Wings utilizes Olo as its primary digital ordering engine, which integrates with the NCR Aloha POS to transmit orders directly to kitchen display systems.[5] The current "snowball" issue is primarily driven by the lack of active capacity management within the Olo Dashboard.[9] Olo is designed to be an enterprise-grade solution capable of sophisticated order pacing, yet many locations operate with "static" quote times that do not reflect real-time conditions.[9]

Olo Rails and Marketplace Intake

Olo Rails functions as the interface for third-party marketplaces such as DoorDash and Uber Eats.[9] These platforms are designed to maximize order volume, often regardless of the restaurant's ability to fulfill that volume.[9] Without a configured "throttle," Olo Rails will continue to "inject" orders into the Aloha POS at a rate that exceeds the fryer throughput.[9] This results in a KDS that is permanently "red," where every new order arriving is already behind its promised schedule.[9]

Olo Throttling Strategy	Mechanism of Action	Operational Impact
Orders Per Window	Caps the total number of orders accepted in 15-minute increments.	Prevents massive "dumps" but fails to account for order size. [9]
Item Count Limits	Limits the total number of specific items (e.g., wings) per slot.	Most effective for BWW; aligns sales with fryer capacity. [9]

Make Time Minutes	Calculates capacity based on cumulative prep time of items.	Provides granular control but requires accurate SKU data. [9]
Lead Time Extension	Manually or automatically increases promise time.	Manages guest expectations; reduces lobby congestion. [9]

OrderReady AI and Machine-Learning Promise Times

A more advanced "cure" available in the Olo suite is OrderReady AI.[9] This system replaces static estimates with a predictive algorithm that analyzes historical data and current KDS performance to generate realistic promise times.[9] If the KDS detects that the average ticket time is currently 45 minutes, OrderReady AI will automatically adjust the customer-facing promise time.[9] This provides a "feedback loop" that naturally throttles volume: as wait times increase, conversion rates for new orders naturally decrease, allowing the kitchen to catch up.[9]

Empirical Evidence: The Champlin, MN Case Study

A detailed examination of operational logs from the Buffalo Wild Wings location in Champlin, MN, provides empirical evidence of the "snowball effect" and the failure of current management protocols.[12] These logs document multiple shifts where systemic operational collapse occurred due to unthrottled intake and management abdication.[12]

Thursday, January 1, 2026: The New Year's Day Failure

- **4:30 PM:** Shift start; immediate BOH dish backup observed.[12]
- **5:15 PM:** Security incident; secure liquor inventory cage discovered unlocked and wide open.[12]
- **6:00 PM:** Service constraints; guests informed of 60-minute wait for food due to kitchen backup.[12]
- **6:50 PM:** Health violation; coworker (Sarah) working symptomatic after informating management she had been vomiting all morning; management allegedly refused to send her home.[12]
- **7:10 PM:** Cross-contamination risk; Kitchen Staff (Orlando) requested bar server to "drop wings" (handle raw chicken) while assisting with dishes.[12]

Wednesday, December 31, 2025: Systemic Operational Collapse

The shift log for New Year's Eve illustrates a total breakdown of order integrity.[12] "Pre-bumping" tickets early in the shift severed the link between the KDS and actual food production, creating a "phantom queue" where the system showed orders as complete while

guests waited indefinitely.[12] Despite actual wait times exceeding 1 hour 15 minutes, Management (Orlando) instructed staff to cap "Promise Times" at 30 minutes, directly causing guest hostility.[12]

Visual evidence confirmed orders sitting in the system for over 87 minutes.[12] Customer "Jason Harris" was observed waiting at the bar with 21 orders ahead of him long after his pickup time.[12] As the kitchen collapsed, the Manager on Duty (Orlando) retreated to the line to cook, leaving the Front of House (FOH) leaderless and handing store keys and manager authorization codes to the bartender to handle FOH issues.[12] This shift ended with a "kitchen walkout" effectively ceasing operations at 9:00 PM, and unrecorded waste of approximately 60+ boxes of food.[12]

Monday, December 29, 2025: Management Misconduct and Metric Fraud

This incident report further highlights "Ticket Ghosting" where Expo staff "bumped" tickets from the KDS to artificially lower times for corporate reporting.[12] Food was cleared from the screen but left in the window for over 20 minutes, resulting in "dead" (cold) food.[12] A physical "ticket bomb" showing a 40-minute wait time was seized and destroyed by a manager (Samantha) to eliminate physical proof of the delay.[12] This destruction of evidence and systemic manipulation of metrics constitutes internal control fraud, particularly concerning as the organization prepares for an IPO.[5]

The Strategic Risks of Metric Manipulation and IPO Valuation

The systemic manipulation of speed-of-service data through "pre-bumping" represents a significant material risk to Inspire Brands.[5] Corporate leadership is often motivated by data that links operational efficiency to financial performance.[9] If tickets are pre-bumped, the data indicates that the store is meeting goals, which prevents corporate from seeing the true capacity problem.[9]

The False Efficiency Trap and Data Integrity

This "False Efficiency Trap" hides the true extent of operational fragmentation from regional leadership.[5] If the $20 billion IPO proceeds based on these falsified metrics, the organization faces substantial legal exposure for material misrepresentation of its operational capabilities.[5] Jacob Zwack's Orchestrating Equilibrium proposal addresses this by managing the "digital cognitive load" on staff; by maintaining true equilibrium, the pressure to "cheat the system" is removed, replaced by a sustainable balance of human energy and guest demand.[5]

The Human-Centric Advantage: Labor Sustainability and Culture

Inspire Brands CEO Paul Brown has emphasized that leadership in the post-pandemic era must focus on attracting top talent and strengthening culture.[1] The industry is facing a historic staffing crunch and high turnover rates, making "equilibrium" a vital recruitment and retention tool.[1] A restaurant constantly in "disequilibrium"—either over-staffed (hurting profits) or under-staffed (causing burnout)—cannot be a "preferred employer".[1] Natalie Rothman, the Chief People Officer, is tasked with "strengthening culture," and an operational model that prioritizes balance rather than just "cutting hours" aligns with this mandate.[1]

Works cited

1. Case Study Analysis for Corporate Role
2. Buffalo Wild Wings Renews Partnership with NCR Voyix as Partner for POS Platform, accessed January 4, 2026, https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20250731037112/en/Buffalo-Wild-Wings-Renews-Partnership-with-NCR-Voyix-as-Partner-for-POS-Platform
3. About Us - Inspire Brands, accessed January 4, 2026, https://inspirebrands.com/about-us/
4. Franchising New - Inspire Brands, accessed January 4, 2026, https://inspirebrands.com/franchising/franchising-new-2/
5. Jacob Zwack's Bartender Perspective Analysis
6. The Liquidity Logic: Flowing from Itasca to the Gu...
7. Inspire Brands Announces Changes to Company Restaurants Senior Leadership Team, accessed January 4, 2026, https://stories.inspirebrands.com/inspire-announces-changes-to-company-restaurants-senior-leadership-team/
8. Inspire Archives - Maverick Studios, accessed January 4, 2026, https://maverickstudios.inspirebrands.com/work_brands/inspire/
9. POS Takeout Capacity Solution
10. 11 Ways Olo Uses AI to Fuel Restaurant Growth, accessed January 4, 2026, https://www.olo.com/blog/11-ways-olo-uses-ai-to-fuel-restaurant-growth
11. Inspire's Maverick Studios Wins In-House Agency of the Year, accessed January 4, 2026, https://stories.inspirebrands.com/inspires-maverick-studios-wins-2025-in-house-agency-of-the-year/
12. notes for story
13. Inspire Brands considers IPO for $20B: Report - Nation's Restaurant News, accessed January 4, 2026, https://www.nrn.com/restaurant-finance/inspire-brands-considers-ipo-for-20b-report
14. Inspire Brands makes several leadership changes - Nation's Restaurant News, accessed January 4, 2026, https://www.nrn.com/quick-service/inspire-brands-makes-several-leadership-changes

15. Inspire Brands names Yasir Anwar chief technology officer - Nation's Restaurant News, accessed January 4, 2026, https://www.nrn.com/restaurant-operations/inspire-brands-names-yasir-anwar-chief-technology-officer
16. 3 LinkedIn Strategies To Turn Your Founder's Voice Into A Pipeline Driver, accessed January 4, 2026, https://www.searchenginejournal.com/3-linkedin-strategies-to-turn-your-founders-voice-into-a-pipeline-driver/557898/
17. 5 Tips for Pitching Ideas From Ad Experts Goodby & Silverstein - 2026 - MasterClass, accessed January 4, 2026, https://www.masterclass.com/articles/pitching-ideas-from-ad-experts-goodby-silverstein
18. Restaurant Social Media Marketing Strategy (2025) - Complete Guide, Examples, accessed January 4, 2026, https://pos.toasttab.com/blog/on-the-line/examples-of-awesome-restaurant-social-media-marketing
19. About - Maverick Studios - Inspire Brands, accessed January 4, 2026, https://maverickstudios.inspirebrands.com/about-2/